

About Family Services Administrations *and* You

Greetings of Peace Sisters,

If you go to the "Return of The Matriarch" page, you will see an article on "What to do when Family services knocks at your door". In it are case laws that will assist in your lawful position. The thing is that society starts with family, therefore the authority to govern starts with family, and that would be you, the Mother or Matriarch of the Family, who births all beings into existence and builds their Temple (body) while in the womb, which means her womb IS the FIRST school – no denying that fact!

This is why inherit in natural law, international law maxims that the condition of the Mother determines the condition of the child and the 'child is as perfect as the Mother', and 'what is good for the child is determined by the Mother' - not determined by any Family Services Administration. The International community also supports same in the published document "**Rights of Indigenous People**" Part II- Section 6, wherein it states with no uncertain terms, that your children cannot be taken away from you under any pretext, that means for no reason at all, they cannot find one nor make one up. But then of course one would have to make claim to their indigenous status. Human trafficking is a crime of genocide. Clearly these corporations feigning as legit governments are really violators of law and of humanity and are committing human trafficking and other manners of genocide. This is truth, no matter what the people wish to believe. So, you have to first be knowledgeable of that and then be wise as a serpent and innocent as a dove and speak in an intelligent tone at all times. That is after you have made it clear for the record (spoken and written) that you are NOT a member of, nor do you domicile in **any** quasi-national domicile, of which ALL states are. Thus no contest, or at the very least it is a matter at law, since they keep saying "the law is' – the law says". Without you knowing the law, you believe whatever they say. If there is a controversy with you and the state or any state authorized administration, entity, association, corporation, etc., it MUST be heard at the Supreme Court level, pursuant to Article III of the Constitution - Law of the land, which is the section that regards judicial controversies that you are being 'versed' with by them. It does NOT mean the State Supreme Court as they are that in name only!!! Clearly, they will not Remove it. You must do so, as a matter of judicial conduct and protocol. However, upon making your claim, and / or Removing it, there is no contest. **Why?** They are violating the law of the land pursuant to that very Article III, because they are to produce **to you** a "Delegation of Authority Order" prior to commencing, asserting or compelling ANY judicial authority, less, they have no authority to compel authority. It might be clear by now that all of these infractions of law and robbing of people's liberties and of their bodies is happening due to a lack of knowledge of the people, and are being done by corporations, feigning as lawful upright government entities, however the 'governed' are the natural people, and the people are not government entities. Lawful Government entities are established to protect, preserve and secure the rights of the people, thus it ought be obvious to us when someone or some entity is not doing that.

Adminstrator's defined government succinctly. "Governments are corporations", in as much as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, a creature of the mind only, a government can deal only with artificial persons. The imaginary, having no reality or substance cannot create or attain parity with the real.

Penhallow V. Doane's.

State Governments are but Trustees with a derived Authority **4 Wheat 402**

So, we MUST take our share of the ‘blame’ for this and then restore it, redeem it. Try not to dance in their meeting rooms they call courts because they are not courts. However, you see now why they operate from a position that you are chattel property, or a ward of their state - wardship status, property of the State, thus are wards of the court (ESPECIALLY once you contract or give license to an attorney) as that means you are unable to speak for self, you are assumed as a corporate person with a ‘minor’ mind. If you were in your proper person (in Propria persona) you would be able to speak for yourself. Otherwise attorneys speak for you and unfortunately they present you in court under “**Leave**” to the court.

IN PROPRIA PERSONA.

In one’s own proper person. It is a rule in pleading that pleas to the jurisdiction of the court must be plead in propia persona, because **if pleaded by attorney** they admit the jurisdiction, as an attorney is an officer of the court, and he is presumed to plead after having obtained ‘leave’, which admits the jurisdiction. **Lawes, P1.**

91. In some jurisdictions, however, this rule is no longer recognized. **1 C.J. 255.**

Really that all breaks down to being able to honor your mothers and Fathers by name and principles, thus showing you have reached identity achievement. Lack of that evidence, they practice human trafficking upon the people as if they are cargo, property. All from lack of knowledge and lack of assertion and exercise of rights bestowed at birth. It is beyond clear that the people just don't know and suffer due to lack of knowledge and that is it - that is all. Thus studying is the key as well, or digging deep in your DNA and bringing it forth in common sense as common law is based on common sense and is international law. To be with common sense is to be with conscience. (con = with *and* science = sense). First there was the word..... If you are a national, then you are dealt with under **international** law, well there it is. A quasi—national court and a municipal court cannot adjudicate on international law or matters. So stand on what you know is right. Do not expect righteousness at any degree, nor honesty from them, as they are no where near that. All that matters to you is that they are not lawful and that you must be lawful, you must hold them to the law, as the law of the land was established to keep the natural people, particularly the aboriginal and indigenous people, who are the Nationals, from being molested by “**other**” citizens. **WE HAVE GOT TO OVERSTAND THAT AND THEN ALWAYS ACT ACCORDINGLY.** Do not leave them to have any discretion with you and your children, as they want their bodies for inventory in their state numbers, which is a quasi-national domicile and NOT a national domicile. They also want them for all sorts of other reasons. You are of a National Domicile right?; then you are NOT a member / citizen of a quasi-national state. Thus you domicile in your ancestral estate / national domicile - North America and are an **aboriginal and indigenous** descendant, tied to the land by birthright and primogeniture. You are NOT a member of any quasi national state. As well, and very, very, very important, state governments are but Trustees with a derived authority. That derived authority is the organic American National Constitution of 1790 and as mentioned prior, any government is a corporation and corporations have no parity with the real.

American: n. an Aboriginal or one of the various copper-colored natives found on the American Continent by the Europeans; the original application of the name. *Websters 1828 American Dictionary of the English language and 1936 Websters unabridged 20th century dictionary.*

As society originates with family, so does authority or government.

Reference / Source of Law: *John Bouviers Concise encyclopedia of Law Francis Rawles 3rd revision page 1366 Definition of Government.*

As soon as any part of a person's conduct affects prejudicially the interest of others, society has jurisdiction over it."

Reference / Source of Law: *Mill, Liberty, c. 4. ; John Bouviers Concise Encyclopedia of Law Francis Rawles 3rd revision pg. 1965 Definition of Liberty.*

The following is in part regards to the DEFINITION of Genocide, as scribed from the International Community, paying close attention to all of Article 2, but for this article to Article 2 (e).

Adopted by Resolution 260 (III) A of the United Nations General Assembly on 9 December 1948.

Article 1

The Contracting Parties confirm that genocide, whether committed in time of peace or in time of war, is a crime under international law which they undertake to prevent and to punish.

Article 2

In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- (a) Killing members of the group;
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- **(e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.**