Senator Franklin of Georgia

Proposes House Bills That Support The Constitution, Proving Fraud is being committed by the States

Senator Franklin of Georgia, put in 3 Bills, all of which are already Constitutional protected Rights. House Bill #7 is the "Right To Travel <u>Act</u>"; House Bill #21 is the exclusive use of gold and silver in the payment of debts, of which, the Constitution states that money <u>is</u> gold and silver; and lastly, House Bill 11, which repeals the authority of the governor to issue mandatory vaccine orders, of which, it is one's Right of choice, not to be forced to take vaccinations.

They Gained the World and Lost their Souls.

And they do not know the Future Mystery, or understand ancient matters. And they do not what is going to happen; and they will not save their souls from the future mystery.

—Dead Sea Scrolls The Prophecy of the Assenes

It is important to note that if the Right to Travel Act is <u>not</u> passed in Georgia, or in any other State, this does not mean one does not have the Right To Travel. It is a right, not an Act. A right bestowed to you from the moment of birth – Birth Rights. In fact it really is a mute point, and we especially want people to recognize that if it is already a Right, and is constitutionally secured, as Senator Franklin abides, then it appears Senator Franklin is exposing that the States have been violating the Peoples substantive Rights. Keep in mind the only reason Governments are in place is to <u>secure the Rights of the People</u> and for no other reason. Senator Franklin may get guff from his constituents, but his mind and soul ought rest well, that he is not participating in the corruption of his fiduciary duties as a Public Servant.

The Right to Travel; The Right to Mode of Conveyance; The Right to Locomotion are all absolute rights, and the <u>Police can not</u> make void the exercise of rights. <u>State v. Armstead, 60 s. 778, 779, and 781:</u>

The use of the highways for the purpose of travel and transportation is <u>not a mere privilege</u>, but a common and fundamental right of which the public and Natural Beings cannot be rightfully deprived.

Chicago Motor Coach v. Chicago 337 Illinois 200, 169 NE 22, ALR, Ligare v. Chicago 139 ILL. 46, 28 HE 934, Boone v. Clark 214 SW 607, 25 AM jur (1st), Highways, sec. 163:

CBS news in Georgia posted Senator Franklins' intent, and of course, on the live news reporting they only showed comments from people who thought it was 'crazy'. These were people who absolutely don't know what their rights are (which unfortunately are most, due to the dumbdown educational guidance, and lack of civilized principles). Not one recognized their Right to Travel, or maybe CBS news simply didn't show the viewers anyone who did speak up for their secured Rights. It matters not because the 'cat is out of the bag', pandora's box is open, and has been for a while, which is probably why Senator Franklin has proposed these Bills. After all, it is the will of the people, as in "We The People", that have forced many to fess-up. Governments are not in place to dictate to the People, the People dictate to the governments. For those who may think that there is some 'new' rule, statute or ordinance, first, they need to read Article VI of the constitution, wherein it clearly states that if any state makes a rule, ordinance, statute, etc., that violates the Rights secured in the Constitution, well, it simply has no standing. Then one may be able to understand why the following stare decisis case law, which applies to all of the states, was established:

Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule-making or legislation, which would abrogate them. <u>Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125:</u>

For those who may be thinking in fact there can be laws instituted by the State, they must refer to the Constitution Article I, section 10(1), wherein States are prohibited

from making Treaty Agreements. This also covers the issue regarding gold and silver wherein it states they cannot make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts. Any 'new' Laws are made in a collective parliamentiray manner, by Sovereigns of the land, the Nationals, and who are they? Where are they? Certainly they are not Europeans who came here as colonist, as this is not their land, and certainly they are not public servants. They are just as certainly not people who have been branded Indian (the first brand), as this is not India. They are Moors (the original navigators of the seas and the entire planet), who are, and who's descendants are, aboriginal and indigenous. They established government and taught the modern Europeans, by bringing them into the Constitutional Fold of government and established ancient divine principles of civilization for civilized people, which were embodied in, and adopted as, the Constitution for the United States of America. Oath bound obligations were, and are, taken to it, as the Supreme Law of this land. This being done, and still being done for the purpose of creating a more perfect union, amongst other concerns (read the preamble). All public servants, officials and governments are to follow said supreme law of the land, no matter what 'state', which begins with a 'state of mind', they establish. The law of the land, is the law of the land. The Federal constitution was for the purpose of bringing the states together to follow established guidelines. That brings us full circle back to the Constitution and the obvious violations being made by those who took an Oath and have a fiduciary duty to uphold it. Who, and where are the law enforcers? - the Bailiffs, the Beys.

US Supreme Court in <u>US vs. Minker, 350 US 179</u> at page 187: "*Because of what appears to be a law-ful command on the surface, many citizens, because of their respect for what only appears to be a law, are cunningly coerced into waiving their rights, due to ignorance.*"

All encompassing, as Rights go, is the Right to be left alone, providing you do not violate anyone else's Right to the same, then you would have made either a civil or a criminal infraction. With continued focus and study, the people might obtain a quiet and peaceable mental revolution as they begin standing up for their Rights, by first knowing them, and then exercising them. You do not practice a Right, you exercise it, and you do not ask permission to exercise a right, it has already been granted by your mere existence.

Under The United States Republic's Constitutional system of Government and upon the individuality and intelligence of the citizen, the state does not claim to control one's conduct to others, leaving one the sole judge as to all that affects oneself. <u>Mugler v. Kansas 123 US 623, 659 – 60:</u>

You may be thinking, after reading the above stare decisis case law, that the 'people', act so ignorantly, so uncivilized, as if they have no civilized or civilian training. Keeping in mind a civilian is one who is in fact astute in the law. What ought come to your mind next, is the fact that we have been mis-taught, and it is high time, we begin to implement institutions of thought that teach the people the truth about their birthrights, their substantive, unalienable rights of birth! The reason governments are established in the first place are to secure those rights. Those are the principles of civilization (ancient as they are) that sustain life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness for all.

US Supreme Court in <u>US vs. Minker, 350 US 179</u> at page 187: "*Because of what appears to be a law-ful command on the surface, many citizens, because of their respect for what only appears to be a law, are cunningly coerced into waiving their rights, due to ignorance.*"

For those who are not certain as to how to begin, you may want to recognize that

the 14th and 15th amendment are firstly, not ratified, and are therefore unconstitutional, as well they are amendments that brought the brand of negroe, colored and blacks into the status of a corporate person with a strategic implementation that eludes to slavery, starting with the birth certificate, the registration point of the chattel. The birth certificate implies the exchange of finance, and is a negotiable bond, placed upon each individual, this is bureaucratic slavery. Slavery is prohibited upon the penalty of death, according to the constitution, Amendment 13. This is why it is imperative that you declare you are natural person, and you must declare your Nationality, as there is only one race – the human race, comprised of many Nationalities. Natural people have natural rights of birth. Corporate people do not, as they have privileges and are chattel property. You will note many of the case laws utilize the expression of 'natural person' when expressing the rights secured in the constitution.

The Constitution is a written instrument. As such, its meaning does not alter. That which it meant when it was adopted, it means now." S. Carolina v. U.S., 199 U.S. 437, 448 (1905).

The PDF below was posted by CBS of Georgia on January 31, 2011, regarding Senator Franklins proposed Bills #7, 11, and 21.

There are other Letters such as the Letter from Samuel E. Rohrer, State Representative of the Commonwealth, Harrisburg Pennsylvania, written to a Judge regarding Substantive Rights, in 2006; and, Arizona State Senator, Wayne Stump who in 1985 recognized the organic national constitution and indicated the drivers instrument as a contract that can be rescinded. Both are already posted on the site.

Ga. Lawmaker Proposes Doing Away With Driver's Licenses - Print This Story News Sto ... Page 1 of 2

CBSAtlanta.com

Ga. Lawmaker Proposes Doing Away With Driver's Licenses

State Rep. Bobby Franklin Of Marietta Defends House Bill 7

Written By: Rebekka Schramm - CBS Atlanta Reporter

POSTED: 10:04 am EST January 31, 2011 UPDATED: 7:24 am EST February 1, 2011



ATLANTA --- A state lawmaker from Marietta is sponsoring a bill that seeks to do away with Georgia driver's licenses.

State Rep. Bobby Franklin, R-Marietta, has filed House Bill 7, calling it the "Right to Travel Act."

In his bill, Franklin states, "Free people have a common law and constitutional right to travel on the roads and highways that are provided by their government for that purpose. Licensing of drivers cannot be required of free people, because taking on the restrictions of a license requires the surrender of an inalienable right."

Franklin told CBS Atlanta News that driver's licenses are a throw back to oppressive times. "Agents of the state demanding your papers," he said. "We're getting that way here."

CBS Atlanta's Rebekka Schramm asked Franklin, "How are we going to keep up with who's who and who's on the roads and who's not supposed to be on the roads?"

"That's a great question," Franklin said. "And I would have to answer that with a question, 'Why do you need to know who's who?""

"What about 12-14-year-olds who want to drive? What would stop them?" Schramm asked.

"Well, what's stopping them now anyway?" Franklin answered.

But not all drivers are on board with the lawmaker's idea.

"I think people should be qualified in some way to drive," Susan Cotton said.

"It's kind of dumb. We need to focus on more important things. The system is working," Sheriyar Sarkari said.

Franklin's name is on the first 21 bills of the legislative session, including one that would require the exclusive use of gold and silver as tender in payment of debts by or to the state, as required in the Georgia Constitution.

"Can the state really pay in gold and silver?" Schramm asked.

"Sure, and they can write checks on it," Franklin said. "They can use a debit card as long as what's denominated behind it is gold and silver."

Franklin is also behind House Bill 11, which would repeal the authority of the governor to issue mandatory vaccination orders. "I'm a firm believer that no person should be subjected to an invasive medical procedure without their consent," he said.

"Have you ever had critics say, 'Look, some of these bills are a waste of paper?" Schramm asked.

Ga. Lawmaker Proposes Doing Away With Driver's Licenses - Print This Story News Sto ... Page 2 of 2

"I can't speak for what other people think," Franklin said. "I just know I took an oath to uphold the Constitution, and that's what I'm trying to do."

You can view all of the bills submitted so far by State Rep. Bobby Franklin.

Just go to http://www.legis.ga.gov/Legislation/en-US/Search.aspx, go under Member Name and scroll down to Franklin.