

North Carolina Police Warned To Put Their Personal Property Into Someone Else's Name

Post Oak Public Relations

post oak.pr@gmail.com

978 – 635 – 9586

For Immediate Release

10.27.11

North Carolina Police Departments all over the state have warned their officers to put their personal property (houses, land, cars and other assets) into someone else's name because they may now be subject to lawsuits from the People of North Carolina.

The warning was issued in response to the recent ruling that upheld that the local Police Departments in North Carolina are classified as "private entities" and NOT connected to the state of North Carolina.

Judicial Review Judge, Paul C. Ridgeway, Wake County General Court Of Justice, Superior Court Division, upheld a lower court ruling that most Public Officials / Agencies are "private entities." Judge Ridgeway upheld the earlier (**1.17.11**) ruling of lower court Judge J. Randall May in **Class v. NORTH CAROLINA, Case No. 10 DOT 7047 (now known as 11 CVS 1559)**.

The police officers will now also have to fund their own Surety Bonds.

Judge Ridgeway's September 15, 2011 ruling creates a conflict in the public's perception of basic government legitimacy because Judge Howard E. Manning, Jr (who recused himself in August 2011) declared in Mr. Class' 4.21.11 hearing that "the Defendants were NOT 'private entities' or 'private contractors' ", but were "public officials."

Are the judges confused? Are these "government" agencies and officials NOT what they're portraying to their constituents? Are they immune because they're "private"? Do we actually have government "agencies" and elect "Public" Officials OR do we deal with "Private Entities"? Is the public being frauded? So many questions! So many conflicts!

Here's some background:

Judge May's original 1.17.11 ruling:

Page 1 <http://min.us/mbmc4SfNoQ>

Page 2 <http://min.us/m9ygLN5Fe>

Page 2A (marked for emphasis) <http://min.us/myxFZuE3d>

Page 3 <http://min.us/mbrIPmoLma>

Judge Ridgeway's 9.15.11 ruling upholding Judge May is viewable at:

<http://min.us/mbi7bovuy0>

Mr. Class' filing, that caused Judge Manning's rambling recusal statement, that was the subject of the Ridgeway ruling, is viewable at <http://min.us/m6M40HRrB>

Judge Manning's rambling recusal: <http://min.us/muCmadmgF>

Mr. Class' original suit (**Case No. 10 DOT 7047**) accused the named North Carolina State departments and individuals with charges of Embezzlement of Federal funds from the local political subdivisions, and violations of the Right To Travel issue.

Mr. Class was acting as a Private Attorney General under provisions of an 1866 Federal Act, and was acting on behalf of all People, and political subdivisions "similarly situated" and affected by the charges in his Judicial Review.

Rod Class will be broadcasting live this Friday night (10.28.11) at 9:00pm Eastern on his Talkshoe channel at:

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=48361>

Mr. Class conducts twice weekly radio shows on Talkshoe and archives of previous shows are available at the above link.

Mr. Class may be contacted at itconstitutional@aol.com or his office 704-742-3123 for details regarding the implications of Judge Ridgeway's ruling and the court's behavior in this action.

The website for all things Rod Class, including other actions he has in play, is at <http://rodclass.com>. For a copy of one of the early filings that may have caused both court's consternation: **<http://www.rayservers.com/blog/rod-class-traffic-filing>**.

Mr. Class has posted all of his filings, and responses from the court, and the defendants, on the Internet at various sites for the benefit of those in their efforts and interactions with these purported "private entities" (contractors), and to ensure that these rulings stay in the public domain and do not disappear !